

1            ***Validation of the TMB-2266 blood pressure monitor in***  
2            ***adults according to the ISO 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020.***

3            Zi jian Xie<sup>a</sup>, Li Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Xiao Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Chao ya Li<sup>a</sup>, Jia Hu<sup>a</sup>, Xinda Wang<sup>a</sup>, Hua Deng<sup>a</sup>,  
4            Xiaoqin Du<sup>a</sup>, Jiahui Liang<sup>b</sup>, Bin Peng<sup>a</sup>

5            <sup>a</sup>Department of Cardiology VI, Chenzhou No.1 people's hospital, Hunan, China,

6            <sup>b</sup>Guangdong Transtek Medical Electronics, Zhongshan, China,

7            **Correspondence to:** Bin Peng, PD, Department of Cardiology VI, Chenzhou No.1  
8            people's hospital, 102 Luojiaping, Chenzhou City, Hunan Province, China.

9            Tel: 0735-2343666 , e-mail:boshipb@163.com

10          Financial support: this work was supported by the Guangdong Transtek Medical  
11          Electronics Co., Ltd.

12          Conflict of interest disclosure: There are no conflicts of interest

13          **Abstract**

14          This study aimed to validate the accuracy of the test device (TMB-2266) blood  
15          pressure (BP) monitor in adults according to ISO 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020  
16          universal standard protocol, which is a digital monitor. Three trained observers used  
17          the same arm sequential method to compare the systolic blood pressures (SBPs) and  
18          Diastolic blood pressures (DBPs) measured by the test device with those measured by  
19          the reference device (mercury sphygmomanometer). For the test device with cuff  
20          ranging from 16 cm to 36 cm and 22 cm to 42 cm, there are 102 subjects, with a  
21          children-to-adults ratio of 37:65. The mean difference (MD) and standard deviation  
22          (SD) between reference BPs and test device BPs readings were (0.94±4.36) /

23 (0.52±3.89) mmHg for SBP/DBP for criterion 1, and  $(0.94 \pm 3.78) / (0.52 \pm 3.52)$   
24 mmHg for SBP / DBP for criterion 2. Test device with the cuff limb circumference  
25 size of 16-36 cm and 22-42 cm fulfilled both validation criterion 1 and 2 of the ISO  
26 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020 standard, hence, it can be recommended for both clinical  
27 and self / home BP measurement in adults.

28 **Keywords: accuracy, blood pressure monitor, validation, clinical trial**

## 29 **Introduction**

30 According to data from WHO, approximately 1.28 billion adults aged 30-79 years  
31 worldwide suffer from hypertension. As a chronic disease, hypertension may increase  
32 the risk of heart and kidney or other diseases <sup>[1-2]</sup>, so prevention and early detection of  
33 blood pressure abnormalities are essential. Therefore, ensuring accurate measurement  
34 of BP values is of utmost importance. In 2018, the ISO 81060-2:2018 was considered  
35 the universal standard protocol for the validation of noninvasive BP-measuring  
36 devices, when the members of the Association for the Advancement of Medical  
37 Instrumentation (AAMI), European Society of Hypertension (ESH), and the  
38 International Standard Organization (ISO) committees reached a consensus on an  
39 optimal validation standard <sup>[5]</sup>.

40 TMB-2266, manufactured by Guangdong Transtek Medical Electronics Co., Ltd, is a  
41 non-invasive, automated BP monitor intended for use in measuring BP and pulse rate.  
42 This device uses the Oscillometric Measuring method to detect blood pressure. This  
43 study aimed to validate the accuracy according to the ISO 81060-2:2018+A1:2020

44 universal standard protocols <sup>[3-4]</sup> and execute in accordance with EN ISO 14155:2020  
45 [6].

## 46 **Methods**

47 The study should recruit at least 85 subjects to measure BP using both the test device  
48 and the reference device in order to obtain at least 255 data pairs, as stipulated in ISO  
49 81060-2:2018. Throughout the trial, investigators should comply with clinical  
50 investigation plan (CIP) and regulatory requirements, enroll eligible subjects and  
51 record demographic information about the subjects <sup>[3-4]</sup>. Before measuring the BP,  
52 subject should empty the bladder, sit comfortably and relax for about 5 minutes with  
53 legs uncrossed and feet flat on floor, bare arm resting on table with mid-arm at heart  
54 level. The BP was measured by two observers who received training the mercury BP  
55 measurements according to the Universal Standard. Each observer independently  
56 recorded the BP readings from the reference device, ensuring that their respective  
57 recordings were invisible to each other. The BPs of the test device were recorded by  
58 supervisor and should not be visible to the observers. The Korotkoff sound [fifth  
59 phase (K5)] should be used by the observers for determining the reference DBP, if the  
60 Korotkoff sound [fifth phase (K5)] is not audible, the subject shall be excluded. Any  
61 pair of observers' SBP value or DBP value with a difference greater than 4 mmHg  
62 (0.53 kPa) was excluded.

63 The accuracy validation used the same arm sequential method. The observer inflated  
64 the bladder until the pressure reached a range of 80-100 mmHg, palpated the radial

65 artery every 20 mmHg per pressurization until the radial artery tube was flattened by  
66 the cuff, and then pressurized 30 mmHg, released the air and the outgassing rate  
67 should not exceed 2-4 mmHg/s to measure the reference device's BP. After recording  
68 the BPs, wait at least 1 minute and use the test device to measure the subject's BPs of  
69 arm on the same side. Record the BPs and continue to measure the BPs using the  
70 reference device. Test device and reference device were measured alternately on the  
71 same arm. Repeat the two procedures until sufficient valid BP data were collected.  
72 The first paired values were not used in the calculation of accuracy.

### 73 **Data analysis**

74 Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, IBM according to the criteria described  
75 in the protocols. The difference between the mean observer values and the test values  
76 was calculated according to the protocol and was displayed as Bland–Altman plots  
77 against the mean of reference BP values.

78 And the distribution of data on age, gender, arm circumference and BP was as shown  
79 on Table 1. Data was all met the requirements of ISO 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020.  
80 According to ISO 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020, the MD and SD of the differences  
81 between the test device and the reference device were needed to meet the  
82 requirements. In this study, the results were shown on table 2.

### 83 **Results**

84 102 subjects were enrolled in trial using a arm circumference ranging from 16 cm to  
85 42cm (37 children / 65 adults, median  $\pm$  SD age  $37.9 \pm 25.0$ , range 6-87 years) .

86 For these two cuffs, each quarter of the total arm circumference lies at least 20% of  
87 subjects, and the highest octile and lowest octile of the total arm circumference lies at  
88 least 10% of subjects. For blood pressure distribution, both of the two cuffs at least  
89 5% of the reference blood pressure readings have a SBP  $\leq 100$  mmHg, SBP  $\geq 160$   
90 mmHg, and DBP  $\leq 60$  mmHg,  $\geq 100$  mmHg. At least 20% of the reference blood  
91 pressure readings have SBP  $\geq 140$  mmHg and DBP  $\geq 85$  mmHg . As shown on the  
92 table 1, the distribution of subject's age, gender, arm circumference BPs met the  
93 requirements of the ISO 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020.

94 According to Criterion 1, the TMB-2266 of the mean difference of SBP between the  
95 test device and the reference device was 0.94 mmHg, with an SD of 4.36 mmHg. The  
96 mean difference of DBP between the test device and the reference device was 0.52  
97 mmHg, with an SD of 3.89 mmHg. The mean difference of the TMB-2266 between  
98 SBP and DBP was less than  $\pm 5$  mm Hg, and the SD was less than 8 mmHg.

99 According to Criterion 2, the TMB-2266 of the mean difference of MD of SBP  
100 between the test device and the reference device was 0.94 mmHg, with an SD of 3.78  
101 mmHg which less than 6.87 mmHg. The mean difference of DBP between the test  
102 device and the reference device was 0.52 mmHg, with an SD of 3.52 mmHg which  
103 less than 6.91 mmHg.

104 As shown on table 2, the criterion 1 and 2 met the requirements of the ISO  
105 81060-2:2018 + Amd.1:2020.

106 According to criterion 1, draw the Bland-Altman plots for SBP and DBP. The MD,

107 MD + 1.96SD, and MD – 1.96SD denoted by the horizontal lines. The SBP of MD ±  
108 1.96SD were 0.94 (9.49,- 7.60), the DBP of MD ± 1.96SD were 0.52(8.15, -7.12).

109 As shown on figure 1.

110 For criterion 2, the SBP of MD ± 1.96SD were 0.94 (8.34, -6.47), the DBP of MD ±  
111 1.96SD were 0.50 (7.40, -6.39). As shown on figure 2.

## 112 **Discussion**

113 This test device establishes a “zero pressure” equivalent to the atmospheric pressure  
114 before every measurement. When the cuff begins to inflate, the test device derives a  
115 blood pressure value by measuring the vibrations against the walls of the blood  
116 vessels as the blood flows. This is a common measurement method for electronic  
117 blood pressure which is oscillometric method.

118 In this study, the test device’s operation is simple, and the LED screen display  
119 provides a wider viewing angle for reading. In a blood pressure monitor used for  
120 measuring upper arm blood pressure, the test device is characterized by small  
121 dimensions and lightweight design, while also offering long battery life, allowing for  
122 150 measurements on a full charge. It supports Bluetooth connection, allowing for  
123 viewing of the blood pressure history on a smartphone. In terms of display, it uses  
124 simple green or orange reminders to indicate normal blood pressure or blood pressure  
125 that exceeds the normal range. It comes with two cuff sizes:16-.36 cm and 22-42 cm,  
126 making it suitable for obese individuals as well. The accuracy of oscillometric devices  
127 is significantly affected by several factors such as cuff size <sup>[7]</sup>. The two cuffs, 16-36

128 cm and 22-42 cm, were validated by collecting data from 37 subjects and 65 subjects,  
129 respectively.

130 The study had several limitations. The enrolled subjects didn't include subjects  
131 younger than 3 years, pregnant women, and individuals with cardiac arrhythmia or  
132 arm circumference beyond the cuff size.

133 Since different measurement processes may result in variations in the accuracy of the  
134 blood pressure monitor, we conducted this study to verify its accuracy. In existing  
135 clinical practice standard, blood pressure measurement techniques include intermittent  
136 automatic blood pressure measurement and non-automatic blood pressure  
137 measurement, etc. This study compared automatic blood pressure with auscultation  
138 method to measure the accuracy of the TMB-2266.

### 139 **Conclusion**

140 TMB-2266 blood pressure monitor manufactured by Guangdong Transtek Medical  
141 Electronics Co., Ltd. meets the requirements of ISO 81060-2:2018+A1:2020 and the  
142 device is effective and safety. Thus, TMB-2266 is qualified to measure the BP for  
143 adults in home.

### 144 **Acknowledgements**

145 We would like to express our gratitude to the investigator, Bin Peng, who is a chief  
146 physician in Chenzhou No.1 people's hospital, for his support in study design, subject  
147 enrollment, and data analysis. This study was funded by Guangdong Transtek Medical  
148 Electronics Co., Ltd.

149 **Reference**

- 150 1. 高血压 (who.int)
- 151 2. Hypertension (who.int)
- 152 3.INTERNATIONAL STANDARD.ISO 81060-2:2018 Non-Invasive  
153 Sphygmomanometers – Part 2: Clinical Investigation of Intermittent Automated  
154 Measurement Type. 2018:11.
- 155 4.ISO 81060-2:2018+A1:2020 Non-invasive sphygmomanometers —Part 2: Clinical  
156 investigation of intermittent automated measurement type
- 157 5.Stergiou GS, Alpert B, Mieke S, Asmar R, Atkins N, Eckert S, et al. A universal  
158 standard for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices: Association for the  
159 Advancement of Medical Instrumentation/European Society of  
160 Hypertension/International Organization for Standardization (AAMI/ESH/ISO)  
161 Collaboration Statement. J Hypertension 2018; 71:368–374
- 162 6. EN ISO 14155:2020 Clinical investigation of medical devices for human subjects --  
163 Good clinical practice
- 164 7. Sprague E, Padwal RS. Adequacy of validation of wide-range cuffs used with home  
165 blood pressure monitors: a systematic review. Blood Press Monit. 2018  
166 Oct;23(5):219-224.